

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,581	07/13/2001	Tetsuji Togawa	2001-0998A	9577
7	590 06/04/2003			
WENDEROTH LIND PONACK			EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET NW SUITE 800		MORGAN, EILEEN P	EILEEN P	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006		_		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	∇
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	χ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/903,581

Applicant(s)

Togawa et al.

Examiner

Morgan

Art Unit **3723**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cever sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	s statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the meximum statutory period will apply at Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the 	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	nis communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status				
	001			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This acti				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pai</i>	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	ois: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☒ None of:				
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	e been received in Application No.			
application from the International Bure				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
	priority dridor do dridrer do the dridrer (E)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2	6) Cther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/903581 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in case on 7-13-01. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 is unclear. Claim 1 states that the top ring or table reciprocates. Claim 5 states top ring reciprocates in a third direction. This is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

Art Unit: 3723

. •

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1-6,9,10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Sommer et al.-6,447,347.

Sommer discloses the claimed polishing apparatus having a linearly moving polishing pad, rotating and transverse motions of a polishing head and transverse motion of dressing devices.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7,8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sommer in view of Elder-6,428,407.

Sommer does not disclose a pad of more than one coarseness of abrasive. However, Elder teaches it is well-known to use a linear abrasive material having various degrees of coarseness, wherein there is a groove between each of the sections. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to use a polishing

Art Unit: 3723

material in the device of Sommer with varying degrees of coarseness, as taught by Elder, in order to be able to perform multiple polishing operations.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 308-1743.

PRIMARY EXAMINAR

EM

June 2, 2003